MI-SPI is the shared print monograph project among 11 participating public university libraries in Michigan & G3 is a collection analysis tool that MI-SPI is using to create this collective collection.
The start of MI-SPI involved circulating print monographs. To decide what to keep & what to weed in relation to one another, a large amount of relevant data needed to be collected and analyzed. Data included detailed holdings information, circulation statistics, publication dates, and comparative holdings among identified libraries and library groups.
Brief introduction to how you can manage your ever-growing print monograph collection

GreenGlass

GreenGlass for Groups (G3)

(GreenGlass & G3 were developed by OCLC Sustainable Collection Services)

Regular GreenGlass video is 2:02 long. G3 video is 2:18 long.
Michigan Shared Print Initiative (MI-SPI)

11 public university libraries in the state were interested in analyzing their print monograph collections.

Current profile of the MI-SPI project. Interest in this collection analysis varied by institution. Some university libraries were interested in obtaining data analysis of their print monograph collections for weeding due to space constraints. Others were interested in overall analysis of usage of their print monograph collections.
Initially there were 7 fully participating libraries. Began as an exploration of the opportunity for collection drawdowns. These original 7 full partners agreed to a 2 copy retention among the group.

Original seven full MI-SPI partners who committed to title retentions

- Central Michigan University
- Eastern Michigan University
- Grand Valley State University
- Michigan Technological University
- Saginaw Valley State University
- Wayne State University
- Western Michigan University
Public university libraries who became full MI-SPI partners in 2015

- Ferris State University
- Northern Michigan University
- Oakland University
- University of Michigan-Dearborn

These public university libraries became full participants in 2015. These newer full-level participants needed to submit updated data sets for the group analysis for the 2015 data refresh.
All of these 9 partners did a data refresh. Eastern Michigan University & Western Michigan University elected not to, an option available to the earlier full partners. EMU & WMU were still committed to their original title retention commitments.
MCLS is coordinating the MI-SPI project with SCS for all the participating libraries.

MCLS is the fiscal agent, and the great facilitators throughout this project. Information about MI-SPI, the original MOU, and other material is posted on MCLS site.
Each participating library collected the required data & submitted it to SCS for a group analysis of the participating libraries’ print monograph holdings and individual and aggregate circulation data. Then SCS massaged all the data, both individually and collectively, to provide a substantial amount of collection analysis information & created equitable retention commitments among the participants.
This is an example of the data analysis SCS provided for a specific title. There is local transaction data on the left & group holdings and aggregate uses on the right along with holdings within all of the U.S., all of the state of MI, and within the Comparator Libraries that the MI-SPI group selected for comparison. The library with the asterisk by its name indicates that they have the commitment to retain this item.
This is the data analysis for an item that is held among all nine of the MI-SPI 2015 holdings analysis group. Again, the institution with the asterisk, has the commitment to retain this item.
The MI-SPI three retention scenarios, two to responsibly manage widely held materials, and one to responsibly manage lesser held materials.
Retain 2 copies among the nine currently participating libraries if both EMU & WMU do not already have a commitment to retain it, and the holdings among the 9 libraries (identified as the MI-SPI 2015 holdings) is more than 2. The retention is evenly distributed.

Source: OCLC Sustainable Collection Services GreenGlass for Groups
Retain just 1 copy among the nine currently participating libraries since either EMU or WMU already have a commitment to retain it, and the holdings among the 9 libraries (identified as the MI-SPI 2015 holdings) is more than 2. The retentions are evenly distributed.
Retention scenario for scarcely held titles. Retain all copies within the 9 library group if the group holdings are fewer than three, US holdings fewer than 50, and MSU & UM do not have the item. Next slide includes the information pertaining to EMU & WMU.
EMU & WMU have no previous retention commitments for this item. All of these parameters lead to the decision to retain all copies within the group.

Source: OCLS Sustainable Collection Services GreenGlass for Groups
Example of specific deselection list using G3 exported data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Location Code</th>
<th>Display Call Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Enumeration</th>
<th>Copy Name</th>
<th>Possible Duplication</th>
<th>Item Status Code</th>
<th>ISBN</th>
<th>Barcode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.449</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180009112909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.13</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180000520830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.H95</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180000158896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.534</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180000125176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.555</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180000167805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.5557</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180000961036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.T38</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180000987685</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.5</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180001657583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed</td>
<td></td>
<td>QA75.A844</td>
<td>m4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32180001565282</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OCLS Sustainable Collection Services GreenGlass for Groups & Central Michigan University
Issues & Challenges

Unchartered territory always comes with issues to think about & resolve
Retention commitments

- Protect additional materials from data sets submitted
- Some realignment in retention commitments

Retention commitments

- Once disclosed in WorldCat, you have to stick to it!!
- How do you reconcile this with possible future commitment adjustments?
Floating Collection

- Although not original owner, a library could end up being the permanent keeper of a title
- How do you integrate this into MI-SPI retention commitments?
Replacing or obtaining new editions

- Participating libraries may have varying collection policies for new editions
- How do you integrate this into MI-SPI commitments?

Discussion on this is continuing among the group of participants.
MI-SPI Memorandum of Understanding

All of these issues (and more!) are currently under review as the Memorandum of Understanding is being updated

http://mcls.org/engagement/mi-spi/
SCS created this subject dashboard for the MI-SPI partners from extracted data from our collective data sets.
Customize your weeding while keeping your retention commitments

GreenGlass for Groups (G3)
Questions?
Thank you!

Helen Levenson
hlevenson@oakland.edu